

# **Failing Democracies and How to Fix Them**

Roger Bishop Jones

2020/04/03



# Contents

Preface . . . . .	1
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2 Some People and their Books</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Michelle Alexander . . . . .	5
2.2 Ayaan Hirsi Ali . . . . .	5
2.2.1 The Challenge of Dawa . . . . .	5
2.3 Peter Boghossian . . . . .	7
2.3.1 The Way Forward . . . . .	7
2.3.2 How to Have Impossible Conversations: A Very Practical Guide . . . . .	8
2.4 Ta-Nehisi Coates . . . . .	10
2.5 James Lindsay . . . . .	10
2.6 Heather Mac Donald . . . . .	10
2.7 Herbert Marcuse . . . . .	10
2.8 Charles Murray . . . . .	10
2.9 Douglas Murray . . . . .	10
2.10 Steven Pinker . . . . .	10
2.11 Helen Pluckrose . . . . .	10
2.11.1 The Evolution of Postmodern Thought . . . . .	10
2.11.2 Cynical Theories . . . . .	14
2.12 Marc Sidwell . . . . .	15
2.13 Debra Soh . . . . .	17
2.14 Thomas Sowell . . . . .	17
<b>3 Some Issues</b>	<b>19</b>

3.1	Social Justice and Identity Politics . . . . .	19
3.1.1	pre-history . . . . .	19
3.1.2	roots . . . . .	21
3.2	Other Activist Foci . . . . .	23
3.3	Freedom of Speech . . . . .	23
3.4	Due Process . . . . .	23
3.5	Conflicts of Interest . . . . .	23
3.6	The Nature of Democracy and The Risk of Subversion	23
	Bibliography . . . . .	23

# Preface

There are “hyperlinks” in the PDF version of this monograph which either link to another point in the document (if coloured blue) or to an internet resource (if coloured red) giving direct access to the materials referred to (e.g. a Youtube video) if the document is read using some internet connected device. Important links also appear explicitly in the bibliography.



# Chapter 1

## Introduction

I don't really have much idea how to do this, but I think quite a lot of digging is in order, and I will start by making notes here on what others have uncovered.





## Chapter 2

# Some People and their Books

### 2.1 Michelle Alexander

The New Jim Crow: Mass Incarceration in the Age of Colorblindness [1]

### 2.2 Ayaan Hirsi Ali

#### 2.2.1 The Challenge of Dawa

- Political Islam as Ideology and Movement and How to Counter It [2]

Executive Summary	1
Summary of Policy Recommendations	5
Introduction	9
Part I The Constitution of Political Islam	23
Part II Dawa: Much More than a “Call to Islam”	35
Part III Confronting Ideology to Win the War	51
Conclusion	61
Detailed Policy Recommendations	65
Appendix A: Eight Types of Threat from Radical Islam	79
Appendix B: Shay’s Three Joint Pillars of Dawa and Jihad	73
Appendix C: Mares’ Five-Step Model of Political Islam’s Expansion	75
Appendix D: Charities and the Terrorist Money Trail	77
Glossary	79

*The aim of da’wah and jihaad is not to shed blood, take wealth, or enslave women and children; these things happen incidentally but are not the aim. This only takes place when the disbelievers (non-Muslims) refrain from accepting the truth and persist in disbelief and refuse to be subdued and pay the jizya (tax levied on free non-Muslims living under Muslim rule) when it is requested from them. In this case, Allah has prescribed the Muslims to kill them, take their wealth as booty and enslave their women and children . . . this religion (Islam) . . . is superior to every law and system. . . . The truth has been spread through the correct Islamic da’wah, which in turn has been aided and supported by jihaad whenever anyone stood in its way. . . . It was jihaad and da’wah together which helped to open the doors to victories.*

—Saudi Grand Mufti Ibn Baz, 1998

*A 2008 survey of more than nine thousand European Muslims by the Science Center Berlin reported strong belief in a return to traditional Islam. In the words of the study’s author, Ruud Koopmans, “almost 60 percent agree that Muslims should return to the roots of Islam, 75 percent think there is only one interpretation of the*

*Quran possible to which every Muslim should stick, and 65 percent say that religious rules are more important to them than the laws of the country in which they live.” More than half (54 percent) of European Muslims surveyed also believe that the West is out to destroy Muslim culture.*

## 2.3 Peter Boghossian

We have here a book and a supporting talk. Talk first.

### 2.3.1 The Way Forward

#### The Way Forward

There is a prelude which is about critical social justice.

- 9:58 Start by listening: you have to understand what your target is talking about. Don't talk over him, concede if there is a clash. (go, no you go) Say "I'm not sure I understand" rather than complaining of unclarity. Say "I hear you" and mean it. Echo snips. Attempt to re-express targets position clearly.
- 14:29 "Epistemology": ask how they know it?
- 16:28 Scales: how confident are you in that belief? (1:10 or whatever) Ask again after (pre-test/post-test). Ask "how much of ..." (e.g. of a patriarchy).
- 19:24 Disconfirmation: under what conditions could you be wrong? (what evidence would convince you otherwise). If can't be wrong, then "oh, not based on evidence?". You need to now from them what evidence would change mind, what would count for youis not at issue.
- 23:00 Ask a question to facilitate doubt. e.g. if gender studies and biology disagree, who would you be likely to believe mkore?
- 24:10 Don't provoke defensiveness: Don't say "but", it denies everything that has gone before, and sets target into defensive posture. Say "Yes and ...".

- 25:35 Build bridges: make golden bridges to save face.
- 26:35 Don't apologise: unless you are actually sorry. Not if someone is offended. AS long as criticising ideas rather than persons.
- 27:35 Be sincere: demonstrate parahesia, don't equivocate or sugarcoat. Speaking in unclear language is a type of self-deception.
- 29 Be willing to revise your beliefs. Be willing to say "I don't know".

Then he runs over it again.

## 2.3.2 How to Have Impossible Conversations: A Very Practical Guide

[3, 4]

### Where they are coming from:

We know because we've had countless conversations with zealots, criminals, religious fanatics, and extremists of all stripes. Peter did his doctoral research in the Oregon State Prison System conversing with offenders about some of life's most difficult questions, and then built upon those techniques in thousands of hours of conversations with religious hardliners. James developed the ideas for his books and articles by engaging in extended conversations with people who hold radically different views about politics, morality, and religion. This book is the culmination of our extensive research and a lifetime of experience in conversing with people who profess to be unshakable in their beliefs.

### They offer:

thirty-six techniques drawn from the best, most effective research on applied epistemology, hostage and pro-

fessional negotiations, cult exiting, subdisciplines of psychology, and more.

## Partial Contents:

one	When Conversations Seem Impossible
two	The Seven Fundamentals of Good Conversations
#1	GOALS Why are you engaged in this conversation?
#2	PARTNERSHIPS Be partners, not adversaries
#3	RAPPORT Develop and maintain a good connection
#4	LISTEN Listen more, talk less
#5	SHOOT THE MESSENGER Don't deliver your truth
#6	INTENTIONS People have better intentions than you think
#7	WALK AWAY
three	Beginner Level: Nine Ways to Start Changing Minds
#1	MODELING Model the behavior you want to see in others
#2	WORDS Define terms up front
#3	ASK QUESTIONS Focus on a specific question
#4	ACKNOWLEDGE EXTREMISTS Point out bad things people on your side do
#5	NAVIGATING SOCIAL MEDIA Do not vent on social media
#6	DON'T BLAME, DO DISCUSS CONTRIBUTIONS Shift from blame to contribution
#7	FOCUS ON EPISTEMOLOGY Figure out how people know what they claim to know
#8	LEARN Learn what makes someone close-minded
#9	WHAT NOT TO DO (REVERSE APPLICATIONS) A list of fundamental and basic conversational mistakes
four	Intermediate Level: Seven Ways to Improve Your Interventions
five	Five Advanced Skills for Contentious Conversations
six	Six Expert Skills to Engage the Close-Minded
seven	Master Level: Two Keys to Conversing with Ideologues

## **2.4 Ta-Nehisi Coates**

[5, 6]

## **2.5 James Lindsay**

[23, 9, 23]

## **2.6 Heather Mac Donald**

[10, 11, 12]

## **2.7 Herbert Marcuse**

[13]

## **2.8 Charles Murray**

[14, 15]

## **2.9 Douglas Murray**

[18, 16, 17]

## **2.10 Steven Pinker**

[19, 20, 21]

## **2.11 Helen Pluckrose**

### **2.11.1 The Evolution of Postmodern Thought**

This following is extracted from the talk [22].

A timeline:

5:41 late 1960s, 66-70: beginning of era of post-modernity. Loss of confidence in “modernity” which stood for scientific and social progress and objective truth. Both revolutionary socialism and liberalism were modernist. Loss of credibility in Marxism and liberalism. The void was filled by “post-modernism”. Jean Baudrillard, Giles Deluge, Felix Guattari. Descriptive, despairing, aimless.

11:20 Post-structuralist and deconstructionist thinkers:

11:30 Jean Francois Lyotard: “The Postmodern Condition” (1979); scepticism towards meta-narratives (christianity, marxism, science). Language of science inseparable from the language of power and government. Instead of meta-narratives, we need lots of mini-narratives, moral and factual relativism.

12:50 Jacques Derrida: sceptical about the possibility of conveying meaning by language, “words only refer to other words so meaning indefinitely deferred”, but can be used to express comparisons such as “men superior to women”. So he advocated inverting these, to expose and challenge them. Possibly justifying inverted oppression to redress the balance.

14:12 Michel Foucault: episteme, power-knowledge, discourses, biopower. Knowledge as cultural construct. We decide what is true and what is known through categories and narratives created and enforced culturally (an episteme). Those in power set the episteme, this is power-knowledge.

The imperative then, of postmodern approaches, is to study the discourses of society, to find the Foucaultian power-knowledge, invert the Derridian binaries and empower the Lyotardian mini-narratives.

17:57 This yields the following “plan”:

- there is no way of obtaining objective truth, everything is culturally constructed

- society is dominated by systems of power and privilege that people just accept as common sense
- these vary from culture to culture and subculture to subculture
- none of them is right or superior to any other
- the categories that we use to understand things, like fact and fiction, reason and emotion, science and art and male and female, are false
- they operate in the service of power need to be examined, broken down and complicated
- language is immensely powerful and it is used to construct oppressive social realities, therefore it must be regarded with suspicion and scrutinized to find the discourses of power
- the intention of the speaker is no more authoritative than the interpretation of the hearer
- the idea of the autonomous individual is a myth, the individual is also a construct of culture programmed by his or her place in relation to power
- the idea of a universal human nature is also a myth, it is constructed by what powerful forces deemed to be the right way to be, therefore it is white Western masculine and heterosexual.

These are the ideas from post-modernism which have survived and now appear in the social justice movement. Thus ends the “High deconstructive” phase of post-modernism.

19:36 Late 1980s: new generation of leftist academics, legal support for various oppressions disappears leaving only attitudes to be addressed, to which post-modernist ideas can be applied.

21:06 Post colonialism: Offshoot of post-modernism headed by Foucauldian Edward Said who argued “The west constructed the East as its inferior in order to construct itself in noble



terms” and that previous colonies should now reconstruct the East for themselves. Spivak and Bhabha followed but were more Derridian, and hence incomprehensible.

21:41 1989: In “critical legal studies” and “critical race theory” Kimberlé Crenshaw began developing her concept of intersectionality, which she described as contemporary politics linked to postmodern theory. She accepted the cultural constructivism of post-modernism in relation to the concepts of race and gender but believed in the objective reality of oppressive cultural constructs around race and gender. She thought liberalism inadequate despite evidence of its success. Liberalism was too universal and an intense focus on identity politics was needed. Mary Poovey Adopted a similar stance in relation to feminism, reconciling postmodernist deconstruction with the objective reality of the category of women. She advocated a “toolbox” approach using post-modern techniques when helpful and not otherwise. Judith Butler belief in objective categories was the problem. Queer theory purest form of post-modernism currently in existence.

24 It was now objectively true that social reality was culturally constructed by specific systems of power.

- white privilege - Peggy Macintosh
- white complicity - Barbara Applebaum
- white fragility - Robin DiAngelo
- re-ified postmodernism - The Creed:
  - racism exists today in both traditional and modern forms
  - racism is an institutionalized multi-layered multi-level system that distributes unequal power and resources between white people and people of color, as socially identified, and disproportionately benefits White’s
  - all members of society are socialized to participate in the system of racism albeit in various social locations

- all white people benefit from racism regardless of their intentions
- no one chose to be socialized into racism so no one is bad, but no one is neutral so not to act against racism is to support racism
- racism must be continually identified analyzed and challenged no one is ever done
- the question is not did racism take place but how did racism manifest in that situation
- the racial status quo is uncomfortable for most White's therefore anything that maintains white comfort is suspect
- the racially oppressed have a more intimate insight via experiential knowledge into the system of race than their racial oppressors but they're not bad
- however white professors will be seen as having more legitimacy thus positionality must be intentionally engaged (means you must always mention your race gender and sexuality and how it impacts on what you're saying)
- resistance is a predictable reaction to anti-racist education and must be explicitly and strategically addressed

### 2.11.2 Cynical Theories

How Activist Scholarship Made Everything about Race, Gender and Sexuality - and Why this Harms Everybody [23].

#### Postmodernism

A revolution in knowledge and power.

Two principles of postmodernism:

1. Knowledge Principle

Radical scepticism about objective truth and knowledge, commitment to cultural constructivism.

## 2. Political Principle

Society is formed of systems of power and hierarchies which determine what can be known and how.

Four Themes:

1. blurring of boundaries
2. power of language
3. cultural relativism
4. loss of the individual and the universal

These are core elements of postmodern “Theory” which have persisted throughout the evolution of postmodernism and its applications from its initial “hopelessness” to its recent strident activism.

**Postcolonial Theory**

**Queer Theory**

**Critical Race Theory**

**Feminism and Gender Studies**

**Disability and Fat Studies**

**Social Justice Scholarship**

**Social Justice in Action**

**An Alternative to the Idea-**

## **2.12 Marc Sidwell**

**The Long March**

[25]

This strategy, named after the long march securing the victory of Communist Party of China, was first enunciated by the German student activist Rudi Dutchke, supported by Herbert Marcuse,

and was a replacement for the failed revolutionary expectations of Marxism.

The idea is that student activists should join the various key professions, become competent and progress to positions of power so that these institutions can be subverted from within. Educational institutions were a first priority, since they educated the new blood which would go into the other professions and institutions, and teacher training of particular interest since activist teachers could then begin the work with younger and more impressionable subjects.

This strategy has proved very successful in propagating critical theories through key social institutions in the UK and in other English-speaking developed nations (USA, Canada, Australia) such as education, the media, and the arts, and thence through quangos and into industry via Human Resource departments. In the USA the preponderance of strong left wing politics in tertiary education, particularly in social sciences and humanities, is sufficient to silence many of the few conservatives who survive in that context on any matter which is politically sensitive. The reach of critical theory leaves little out of its scope, now making its final assault on the supposedly objective and non-partisan STEM curriculum. The United Kingdom follows in the wake, even issues conspicuously local to the USA readily crossing the atlantic.

CHAPTER ONE Gramsci's Ghost 1

CHAPTER TWO Meet the Blob 11

CHAPTER THREE The 'Culture Industry' Industry 21

CHAPTER FOUR Wolves in Sheep's Clothing 31

CHAPTER FIVE Mao, Marx and Marcuse 40

CHAPTER SIX The Thatcher Revolution 51

CHAPTER SEVEN From Political Class to Identity Politics 65

CHAPTER EIGHT Failing Upwards 77

CHAPTER NINE The Art of Cultural Resistance 89

## **2.13 Debra Soh**

[\[27\]](#)

## **2.14 Thomas Sowell**

[\[28\]](#)



# Chapter 3

## Some Issues

### 3.1 Social Justice and Identity Politics

These are underpinned by a variety of “critical theories” which are in turn underpinned by the “Critical Theory” of the Frankfurt School and Postmodern Philosophy.

#### 3.1.1 pre-history

##### Hegel and Marx

*Notes from Magee and Singer.*

Magee[26] summary:

1. understanding reality = understanding a process (of perpetual change)
2. what is changing - “geist” (mind/spirit)
3. why changing? - because in a state of alienation
4. what is the process of change? - the dialectical process
5. where is it going? - politically: to organic society; philosophically: to absolute knowledge

Note that (in this sketch) Magee does not mention Hegel's notion of freedom, and does not give particular prominence to the alleged logical necessity in the dialectic.

Left and Right Hegelians (young and old)

Right (conservative) took Hegel to be describing the Prussian state and therefore that no radical changes were required.

Left (radical) took Hegel to be concerned with overcoming the conflict between reason and desire or between morality and self interest, a very large undertaking, hence requiring revolution (though Hegel did not advocate it).

Marx was a left Hegelian and carried over 1 and 3-5 above, but eliminates "geist" as the subject of the process in favour of matter, hence "dialectical materialism".

***Notes from Sabine.***

Sabine [24] seems to me to penetrate deeper in his first explanation of Hegel's logic, along the following lines.

Hegel's logic is intended to overcome the constraints advertised (e.g. by Hume) on analytic (deductive) logic and implicit in the tripartite division between truths of reason, empirical truths and value judgements. Hegel offers in his dialectic a kind of reason which tells us about how history progresses and gives us a logical justification for matters including morals and religion.

Sabine mentions "three vaguely similar generalisations":

1. universal human progress (inherited from the enlightenment, Turgo, Condorcet)
2. logically necessary historical development (of national cultures)
3. Darwin's theory of "organic evolution"

The failure to distinguish these very different notions of progress (of which (2) is Hegel's historicism) caused great confusion. Sabine considers (3) to be irrelevant to (1) and (2), and considers (1) to be revolutionary in tendency while (2) in Hegel's conception is conservative (but is later transformed by Marx into a revolutionary theory).



The main distinctive feature of (2) is that it is held to be a matter of *logic* rather than of *empirical causation*. Hegel, and later Marx, regarded (1) (and (3)?) as “philosophically superficial” *because* they are empirical theories. Hegel’s purpose was to demonstrate the logically necessary stages by which human reason approximates the absolute.

## Nietzsche

Its not clear to me that this is important to critical theory, but it is a line of thinking leading to totalitarian politics.

Notes from Magee and Stern [29]. The main traditions in Western Civilisation which Nietzsche attacked were:

1. Christian morality

Dismisses christian virtues such as “turning the other cheek”, compassion, humility.

2. Secular morality

Dismisses the generalised moral codes which occurs in secular moral theory.

3. Herd morality

The heroic individual should be a law unto himself.

4. Some traditions from ancient Greece (Socrates)

### 3.1.2 roots

The three pillars which support Social Justice ideologies (collectively “critical social justice” of which “critical race theory” is perhaps the most controversial) are:

- Critical Theory
- Postmodern Philosophy
- The Long March through the Institutions

The first two are philosophical, the last is an activist strategy.

## Critical Theory

“Critical Theory” as capitalised refers to the theories of the Frankfurt School, the later more activist descendents (e.g. critical race theory) lose the capitals.

The Frankfurt School was established as the Institute for Social Research by Felix Weil in Frankfurt with an endowment from his father Herman Weil. At first a group of orthodox Marxists, it developed from 1930 under the leadership of Max Horkheimer its own distinctive “Critical Theory” of Society [7, 8].

Some aspects of Critical Theory:

- Integration of Theoretical, Practical and Normative

Critical Theory must explain what is wrong with current social reality, identify the actors to change it, and provide both clear norms for criticism and achievable practical goals for social transformation.

- Emancipation of the individual
- Democratisation of society

“all conditions of social life that are controllable by human beings depend on real consensus” in a rational society (Horkheimer [8])

- Intolerance

Postmodern Philosophy

**3.2 Other Activist Foci**

**3.3 Freedom of Speech**

**3.4 Due Process**

**3.5 Conflicts of Interest**

**3.6 The Nature of Democracy and The  
Risk of Subversion**



# Bibliography

- [1] Michelle Alexander. *The New Jim Crow: Mass Incarceration in the Age of Colorblindness*. Penguin Books. 2010.
- [2] Ayaan Hirsi Ali, *The Challenge of Dawa - Political Islam as Ideology and Movement and How to Counter It*, 2017.  
[https://www.hoover.org/sites/default/files/research/docs/ali\\_challengeofdawa\\_final\\_web.pdf](https://www.hoover.org/sites/default/files/research/docs/ali_challengeofdawa_final_web.pdf).
- [3] Peter Boghossian. *A Manual for Creating Atheists*. Pitchstone Publishing. 2013. ISBN 978-1939578099.
- [4] Peter Boghossian and James Lindsay. *How to Have Impossible Conversations: A Very Practical Guide*. Da Capo Lifelong Books. 2019.
- [5] Ta-Nehisi Coates. *Between the World and Me: Notes on the First 150 Years in America*. Text Publishing Company. 2015.
- [6] Ta-Nehisi Coates. *We Were Eight Years in Power: An American Tragedy*. Penguin Books. 2018.
- [7] Max Horkheimer, *Traditional and Critical Theory*, 1930.  
<https://www.sfu.ca/~andrewf/hork.doc>.
- [8] Max Horkheimer, *Critical Theory - Selected Essays*, 1968.  
[https://monoskop.org/images/7/74/Horkheimer\\_Max\\_Critical\\_Theory\\_Selected\\_Essays\\_2002.pdf](https://monoskop.org/images/7/74/Horkheimer_Max_Critical_Theory_Selected_Essays_2002.pdf).
- [9] James A. Lindsay. *Everybody is Wrong About God*. Pitchstone Publishing. 1918. ISBN 1634310365.

- [10] Heather Mac Donald. *The Burden of Bad Ideas: How Modern Intellectuals Misshape our Society*. Ivan R. Dee. 2000. ISBN 1-56663-337-0.
- [11] Heather Mac Donald. *The War on Cops: How the New Attack on Law and Order Makes Everyone Less Safe*. Encounter Books. 2016. ISBN 1-59403-875-9.
- [12] Heather Mac Donald. *The Diversity Delusion: How Race and Gender Pandering Corrupt the University and Undermine Our Culture*. MacMillan USA. 2018. ISBN 978-1-25-020091-4.
- [13] Herbert Marcuse and Bryan Magee, *Herbert Marcuse*, 1977.  
<https://youtu.be/OKqC11TAJx4>.
- [14] Charles Murray. *The Bell Curve: Intelligence and Class Structure in American Life*. Free Press. 1994. ISBN 0-029-14673-9.
- [15] Charles Murray. *Human Diversity: The Biology of Gender, Race, and Class, Twelve*. Free Press. 2020. ISBN 978-15-3-874401-7.
- [16] Douglas Murray. *The Strange Death of Europe: Immigration, Identity, Islam*. Bloomsbury Publishing PLC. 2017. ISBN 978-147-294224-1.
- [17] Douglas Murray. *The Madness of Crowds: Gender, Race and Identity*. Bloomsbury Publishing PLC. 2019. ISBN 978-147-295995-9.
- [18] Douglas Murray and Johan Pieter Verwey, *Victims of Intimidation: Freedom of Speech within Europe's Muslim Communities*, 2008.  
<http://henryjacksonsociety.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/01/victims.pdf>.
- [19] Steven Pinker. *The Blank Slate: The Modern Denial of Human Nature*. Viking Press. 2002.
- [20] Steven Pinker. *The Better Angels of Our Nature*. Penguin Books. 2011.

- [21] Steven Pinker. *Enlightenment Now: The Case for Reason, Science, Humanism, and Progress*. Penguin Books. 2018.
- [22] Helen Pluckrose, *The Evolution of Postmodern Thought*.  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xoi9omtAiNQ>.
- [23] Helen Pluckrose and James Lindsay. *Cynical Theories: How Activist Scholarship Made Everything about Race, Gender, and Identity—And Why This Harms Everybody*. ISBN 978-1634312028.
- [24] G.H. Sabine. *A History of Political Theory*. Harrap. 1963. ISBN 0 245 56485 3.
- [25] Marc Sidwell. *THE LONG MARCH: How the left won the culture wars and what to do about it*. New Culture Forum.  
[http://www.newcultureforum.org.uk/assets/books/The\\_Long\\_March.pdf](http://www.newcultureforum.org.uk/assets/books/The_Long_March.pdf), 2020. ISBN 978-1-9993475-1-2.
- [26] Peter Singer and Bryan Magee, *Peter Singer on Hegel and Marx*.  
<https://youtu.be/ceM8GITkKxg>.
- [27] Debra Soh. *The End of Gender: Debunking the Myths about Sex and Identity in Our Society*. Threshold Editions. 2020.
- [28] Thomas Sowell. *Barbarians inside the gates*. Profile Books. Hoover Institution Press.
- [29] J.P. Stern and Bryan Magee, *J.P.Stern on Nietzsche*.  
<https://youtu.be/xbDxZe8wYrA>.